

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassackin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opub.com

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/526,115	02/28/2005	Juha Kaario	915-008.031	8532		
4955 997022010 WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, PO BOX 224 MONROE, CT 60468			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, VAN KIM T			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
mornton, c.	11011(OL) C1 00100		2456	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/12/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/526,115 KAARIO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

		Van Kim T. Nguyen	2456	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY SIX 69 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Which was specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised as the maximum statutory period was precised as the maximum statutory period was precised as the maximum statutory period was precised by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underbrace{22Fe}_{C}$ This action is FINAL. 2b) \boxtimes This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filled onislare: a) accard Applicant may not request that any objection to the cardinate may not request the specific including the correction of the cardinate of the cardinate of the cardinate of the cardinate of the specific may be specified to be the Examine of the cardinate of the specific may be specified to be specified to be specified to be the Examine of the specified on the specified on the specified or specified to be speci	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No	Stage
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachmen	at(s)			
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	

Attachment(s)		
1) ∑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3} ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(e) (PTO/SB/CC) Paper No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	
P. Detont and Trademark (Wise		_

Application/Control Number: 10/526,115 Page 2

Art Unit: 2456

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to communications filed on February 22, 2010.
 Claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 22, 2010 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1-2, 4, 8, 12 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rudolph et al (US 2001/0054082).

Regarding claim 12, Rudolf discloses a product comprising a radio frequency identification transponder (12, Fig. 1; 11 10022-0025 and 0035), wherein the transponder

Art Unit: 2456

comprises tag information corresponding to a multimedia object (¶[0038]), wherein the tag information is intended to be retrieved by a portable, digital device (14, Fig. 1; ¶[0026]) for facilitating the creation of a multimedia message with the tag information in the multimedia message (¶¶[0036-0037]).

Regarding claim 8, Rudolph discloses an apparatus (Fig.1) comprising:

a tag reader (14, Fig. 1; ¶[0026 and 0036]) configured to emit an interrogating radio signal in order to stimulate a radio frequency identification transponder tag (12, Fig. 1; ¶[0022-0025]) to emit a response signal, which includes tag information (unique product identification, serial number, and URL or other reference to a Web site; ¶[0035]), associated with a multimedia object (¶[0038]), the tag reader further configures to receive such a response signal (¶[0036]); and

a processor (16, Fig. 1) configured to initiate the transmission of a message based upon the received tag information; wherein the processor is further configured to provide the tag information received from the radio frequency identification transponder into the message generated in the apparatus (¶[0036-0037]).

Claims 1 and 15-16 are rejected under the same basis.

Regarding claim 2, Rudolph also discloses the tag information includes the multimedia object (¶[0038]).

Regarding claim 4, Rudolf also discloses the tag information is a link to the multimedia object, which is stored in a database (¶¶0037-0038]).

Application/Control Number: 10/526,115 Art Unit: 2456

 Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rudolph, as applied to claim 2 above, in view of Kovesdi et al (US 2003/0155413).

Regarding claim 3, Rudolph does not explicitly disclose the user is prompted to accept or reject the inclusion of the multimedia object into the message.

Kovesdi teaches the user is prompted to accept or reject the inclusion of the multimedia object into the message (¶¶0076-0079]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Kovesdi's teaching of prompting user to accept or reject the inclusion of the multimedia object into the message in Rudolph's system, motivated by the desire to provide easy access and seamless browsing.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Rudolph, as applied to claim 4 above, in view of Kovesdi et al (US 2003/0155413).

Regarding claim 5, Rudolph-Kovesdi also discloses the database is stored in the portable, digital device (Figure 2; Kovesdi, 1110047 and 00711).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Kovesdi's teaching of storing the database in the portable, digital device in Rudolph's system, motivated by the desire to provide easy access and seamless browsing.

Regarding claim 6, Rudolph-Kovesdi also discloses the database is stored in a node in a mobile communication system, where the portable, digital device is registered (Figure 2; Kovesdi. ¶0049-0051] and ¶0071]).

Art Unit: 2456

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Kovesdi's teaching of storing the database in a node of a mobile communication system in Rudolph's system, motivated by the desire to provide easy access and scamless browsing.

Regarding claim 7, Rudolph-Kovesdi also discloses the database is stored in an internet server, which is accessible for a node in a mobile communication system, where the portable, digital device is registered (Figure 2; Kovesdi, ¶[0049-0051] and ¶[0071]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Kovesdi's teaching of storing the database in an internet server in Rudolph's system, motivated by the desire to provide easy access and seamless browsing.

 Claims 10-11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rudolph, as applied to claim 8 above, in view of Kovesdi et al (US 2003/0155413).

Regarding claim 10, Rudolph does not explicitly disclose displaying the multimedia object before transmitting the message.

Kovesdi teaches displaying the multimedia object before transmitting the message (¶[0045]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Kovesdi's displaying the multimedia object before transmitting the message in Rudolph's system, motivated by the desire to provide easy access and seamless browsing.

Claim 11 is rejected under the same basis.

Art Unit: 2456

Regarding claim 14, Rudolph does not explicitly disclose a mobile phone comprising the apparatus.

Kovesdi teaches a mobile phone comprising the apparatus (Fig. 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Kovesdi's including a mobile phone in Rudolph's system, motivated by the desire to provide easy access and seamless browsing.

Claims 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Rudolph, as applied to claim 8 above, in view of Kenny et al (US 6,989,741).

Regarding claim 9, Rudolf does not explicitly disclose a keyboard and including a keylock functionality, wherein the processor is configured to activate the key-lock functionality if the response signal indicating the portable digital device resides within a predetermined range from the RFID-transponder.

Kenny teaches a key-lock functionality, and the processor is configured to activate the key-lock functionality if the response signal indicating the portable digital device resides within a predetermined range from the RFID-transponder (col. 4: lines 30-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Kenny's method of tracking assets in Rudolph's system in order to better manage and track electronic assets.

Claim 13 is rejected under the same basis.

Art Unit: 2456

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Van Kim T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3073.
 The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rupal D. Dharia/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2400 Van Kim T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2456

vkn